## Spin Relaxation and Minority Spin Condensate in Superfluid <sup>3</sup>He $A_1$

A. Yamaguchi<sup>1</sup>, S. Kobayashi<sup>1</sup>, K. Suzuki<sup>1</sup>, H. Ishimoto<sup>1</sup> and H. Kojima<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwanoha 5-1-5, Kashiwa 277-8581,

Japan

<sup>2</sup>Serin Physics Laboratory, Rutgers University, Piscataway NJ 08854 USA

The magnetic relaxation phenomena in superfluid <sup>3</sup>He  $A_1$  phase are studied using a magnetic fountain pressure detector in which a large reservoir is connected to a small sensor chamber through two superleak channels of height 18  $\mu$ m. Superflow in simultaneous mass/spin current is driven by an externally applied magnetic field. Measurements of the relaxation of the induced fountain pressure are carried out under a variety of conditions including pressure (3 - 29 bar), temperature, static field (up to 8 T) and <sup>4</sup>He (5 monolayers) coverage. The relaxation of the fountain pressure arises from the time dependent spin density in the sensor chamber. The observed relaxation time  $\tau$  varies from 80 s near the upper transition temperature, T<sub>c1</sub>, to less than 0.1 s near the lower transition temperature,  $T_{c2}$ . The measured relaxation rate increases starting near the middle of  $A_1$  phase and more rapidly as the  $T_{c2}$  is approached. The <sup>4</sup>He coverage is observed not to affect the measured spin relaxation rate and this indicates that the relaxation is a bulk liquid effect. The rapid increase in relaxation rate is interpreted in terms of the Leggett-Takagi<sup>1</sup> mechanism of intrinsic spin relaxation arising from a small but increasing presence of minority spin pair condensate<sup>2</sup> (with pair magnetic moment aligned in the opposite direction to the applied field) in  $A_1$  phase as  $T_{c2}$  is approached. It is concluded that the conventional view of the superfluid  $A_1$  phase being composed of condensate pairs with magnetic moment aligned strictly along the applied field is inadequate.

[1] A. J. Leggett and S. Takagi, Ann. Phys. 106, 79 (1977).

[2] H.Monien and L. Tewordt, J. Low Temp. Phys. 60, 323 (1985).